(This Ruling has been revised / superseded vide Valuation Ruling No. 1132/2017 dated 17-04-

2017)

## GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF CUSTOMS VALUATION CUSTOM HOUSE KARACHI

\*\*\*\*\*

**The Collectors of Customs,** Model Customs Collectorates, Appraisement (East / West) / Port Qasim / Preventive), Karachi / Appraisement (Lahore) / Preventive (Lahore) / Sambrial (Sialkot) / Faisalabad / Multan / Islamabad / Hyderabad / Quetta / Peshawar / Gawadar / Gilgit-Baltistan

## DETERMINATION OF CUSTOMS VALUES OF CHEMICAL FAMILY GLYCERIN, AMMONIUM BI CARBONATE, BUTYL ACRYLATE, LIQUID CHLORO PARAFFIN/ CHLORINATED PARAFFIN AND CALCIUM CARBIDE, UNDER SECTION 25-A OF THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1969

## (VALUATION RULING No. 937/2016)

No. Misc/06/2016-II

Dated: 26-09-2016

In exercise of powers conferred under Section 25-A of the Customs Act, 1969, Customs values of Chemicals namely Glycerin, Ammonium Bi Carbonate, Butyl Acrylate, Liquid Chloro Paraffin/Chlorinated Paraffin and Calcium Carbide are determined as follows.

2. Background of the valuation issue: The custom values of the subject Chemicals namely Glycerin, Ammonium Bi Carbonate, Butyl Acrylate, Liquid Chloro Paraffin/Chlorinated Paraffin and Calcium Carbide were earlier determined vide Valuation Ruling Nos. 798/2016 dated 21.01.2016, 799/2016 dated 22.01.2016, 806/2016 dated 22.01.2016, 808/2016 dated 22.01.2016 and 418/2012 dated 28.01.2012. There were several representations from different importers/ traders and trade bodies i.e. Pakistan Chemicals and Dyes Merchants Association (PCDMA), for determination of customs value of the chemicals. More over the importers have claimed that the prices of subject chemicals are showing down ward trend in the International market hence existing valuation ruling, which is over seven months old is required to be revised in the light of honorable High Court of Sind, at Karachi's orders dated 10.11.2015 in Constitutional Petition No. D-6918/2015. Since 90 days have passed and a number of representations were received from commercial importers and multi-national companies regarding values determined in afore mentioned valuation rulings, hence an exercise was initiated to re-determine the values of subject chemicals.

**3. Stakeholders' participation in determination of Customs values:** Meeting with all the stakeholders including importers, trade bodies i.e. Pakistan Chemicals and Dyes Merchants Association (PCDMA) and representatives from clearance Collectorates, was held on 07.9.2016 to discuss the current international prices of the subject chemicals. The view point of all participants was heard in detail and considered to arrive at Customs value for subject Chemicals.

4. Method adopted to determine Customs values: Valuation methods provided in Section 25 of the Customs Act, 1969 were duly applied in their regular sequential order to address the particular valuation issue at hand. The transaction value method as provided in Sub-Section (1) of Section 25, found inapplicable in light of the wide variety of invoices submitted at import stage the veracity of which could not be ascertained fully, hence requisite information required under law was not available to arrive at the correct transaction value. Identical / similar goods value method provided vide Sub-Sections (5) & (6) of Section 25 ibid were examined for applicability to determine Customs value of subject goods, this data provided some references, however, it was found that the same cannot be solely relied upon due to the absence of absolute demonstrable evidence of qualities, and quantities of commercial level etc., and also it was observed that importers usually provided misleading description while declaring goods, as other types and varieties of similar goods to avoid the application of valuation ruling. Information available was, hence, found inappropriate. In line with the statutory sequential order of Section 25, this office then conducted a market inquiry using Deductive Value Method under Sub-Section (7) of the Section 25 of the Customs Act, 1969, however, it was found that

the determination of Customs value could not be based solely upon this method either. Therefore, valuation method provided vide Section 25(8) of Customs Act 1969, could not be applied as the conversion cost from constituent materials and allied expenses, at country of export were are not available. Finally, PRAL database, market information and international prices through Web were examined thoroughly. All the information so gathered was analyzed for determination of Customs Value of the subject good. Consequently, the Fall Back Method as provided under section 25(9) of the Customs Act, 1969 was applied to arrive assessable customs values of the Chemicals.

**5.** Customs values for Chemicals namely Glycerin, Ammonium Bi Carbonate, Butyl Acrylate, Liquid Chloro Paraffin/Chlorinated Paraffin and Calcium Carbide: The Chemicals namely Glycerin, Ammonium Bi Carbonate, Butyl Acrylate, Liquid Chloro Paraffin/Chlorinated Paraffin and Calcium Carbide hereinafter specified shall be assessed to duty / taxes at the following Customs Values: -

S.	Description of goods	РСТ	<b>Proposed PCT for</b>	Origins	Customs
No.			WEBOC		Values (C&F)
					US\$/Kg
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	GLYCERIN	2905.4500	2905.4500.1000	All origins	0.72
2.	AMMONIUMBI	2836.9930	2836.9930.1000	China	0.21
	CARBONATE				
3	BUTYL ACRYLATE	2916.1200	2916.1200.1000	China/Malaysia	1.39
		2916.1200	2916.1200.2000	Saudi Arabia	1.37
		2916.1200	2916.1200.3000	Germany / South	1.45
				Africa	
		2916.1200	2916.1200.3000	All other origins	1.42
4	LIQUID CHLORO	3824.9090	3824.9090.1000	Australia/ Europe	1.20
	PARAFFIN	3824.9090	3824.9090.2000	India/ China	1.00
	ICHLORINATED	3824.9090	3824.9090.3000	All other origins	1.10
	PARAFFIN			6	
5.	CALCIUM CARBIDE	2849.1000	2849.1000.1000	China, Far East &	0.70
				Middle East	

6. In cases where declared/ transaction values are higher than the Customs values determined in this Ruling, the assessing officers shall apply those values in terms of Sub-Section (1) of Section 25 of the Customs Act, 1969. In case of consignments imported by air, the assessing officer shall take into account the differential between air freight and sea freight while applying the Customs values determined in this Ruling. The Custom values determined in the Valuation Ruling are for the descriptions and specifications as mentioned herein, HS Codes are mentioned for illustrative purposes so that Valuation Ruling values are made accessible to the assessing officers. The assessment shall be finalized in the correct classifications after fulfilling request formalities relating to importability or other certifications required thereon.

7. Validity of this Valuation Ruling: The values determined vide this Ruling shall be the applicable Customs value for assessment of subject imported goods until and unless it is rescinded or revised by the competent authority in terms of Sub-Sections (1) or (3) of Section 25-A of the Customs Act, 1969.

**8. Revision of the value determined vide this Valuation Ruling:** This Valuation Ruling is appealable under the law and a revision petition may be filed against this Ruling, under Section 25-D of the Customs Act, 1969, within 30 days from the date of issue of this ruling before the Director General, Directorate General of Customs Valuation, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Custom House, Karachi.

9. The Collectors of Customs may kindly ensure that the values given in the Ruling for the given description of goods are applied by the concerned staff without fail. Any anomaly observed may kindly be brought to the notice of Directorate General immediately.

10. This Ruling supersedes Valuation Ruling Nos. 798/2016 dated 21.01.2016, 799/2016 dated 22.01.2016, 806/2016 dated 22.01.2016, 808/2016 dated 22.01.2016 and 418/2012 dated 28.01.2012.

(DR.WASIF ALI MEMON) Director

Copy for information to:-